



Atty. Dkt. No. 069974-0152

B / *fw*
1752
/ *W*

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Katsumi Maeda
Title: (METH)ACRYLATE DERIVATIVE,
POLYMER AND PHOTORESIST
COMPOSITION HAVING LACTONE
STRUCTURE, AND METHOD FOR FORMING
PATTERN BY USING IT
Appl. No.: 09/750,116
Filing Date: 12/29/2000
Examiner: R. Ashton
Art Unit: 1752
Confirmation Number: 6329

CHANGE OF CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicant's attorney respectfully requests that the records of the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with the above-identified application be changed to show the following customer number for all future communications.

Customer Number: 22428

Respectfully submitted,

Date 26 September 2006

By George E. Quillin

George E. Quillin
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 32,792
Telephone: (202) 672-5413
Facsimile: (202) 672-5399

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Katsumi Maeda
Title: (METH)ACRYLATE DERIVATIVE,
POLYMER AND PHOTORESIST
COMPOSITION HAVING LACTONE
STRUCTURE, AND METHOD FOR
FORMING PATTERN BY USING IT
Appl. No.: 09/750,116
Filing Date: 12/29/2000
Examiner: R. Ashton
Art Unit: 1752
Confirmation Number: 6329

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF
PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT UNDER 35 U.S.C. §154 (b)**

09/28/2006 TBESHAH2 00000040 09750116
01 FC:1455 200.00 OP

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicant is in receipt of the Notice of Allowance dated July 10, 2006, for the above-captioned application. However, Applicant disagrees with the Determination of Patent Term Adjustment under 35 USC 154(b) and presents the following facts to support the contention that the patent term reward should be 1,208 days instead of 949 days due to PTO examination delay.

Bases for the Adjustment: Applicant filed a response to the non-final office action dated November 19, 2001, on February 27, 2002. The next office action was not issued by the PTO until December 4, 2002, which should result in Patent Term Adjustment of 160 days. Applicant notes that the PAIR system indicates that Applicant's response was received in the PTO on September 20, 2002. However, Applicant contends that the

response was filed on February 27, 2002, and enclosed herewith is a copy PTO date-stamped postcard supporting this contention.

This patent application is not subject to a terminal disclaimer.

Correct Patent Term Adjustment: Below is Applicant's calculation of Patent Term Adjustment, indicating that the adjustment should be 1,208 days:

Docket Number: 069974-0152
Application Number: N/A
Patent Number: N/A

	Event Description	Event Date	Days from Filing	PTO Days	Applicant Days
Edit Delete	Application Filing Date	12/29/2000	0		
Edit Delete	Non-Final Office Action	11/29/2001	335		
Edit Delete	Non-Final Office Action Response Received at PTO	02/27/2002	425		
	Non-Final Office Action Response Received at PTO + 4 months	06/27/2002	545		
Edit Delete	Non-Final Office Action	12/04/2002	705	160	
	Non-Final Office Action + 3 months	03/04/2003	795		
Edit Delete	Non-Final Office Action Response Received at PTO	03/31/2003	822		27
	Non-Final Office Action Response Received at PTO + 4 months	07/31/2003	944		
Edit Delete	Interference Declared	04/01/2005	1,554		
Edit Delete	Interference Decided	07/15/2005	1,659		
Edit Delete	Non-Final Office Action Response Received at PTO	03/02/2006	1,889		
	Non-Final Office Action Response Received at PTO + 4 months	07/02/2006	2,011		
Edit Delete	Notice of Allowance	07/10/2006	2,019	1,075	
	Projected Patent Grant Date	01/16/2007	2,209		
Totals:				1,235	27
PTA:				1,208	

37 C.F.R. § 1.705(b)(iv)(A) statement: Applicant submits that the Response to the Office Action, filed on March 31, 2003, was filed 27 days beyond the three-month period for response set out in 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(b), which excess is deemed by operation of that regulation to constitute failure to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude processing of the application and which results in a 27 day adjustment.

Enclosed with this request is the fee of \$200.00 as set forth in 37 C.F.R §1.18(e), in the form of a credit card payment.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required regarding this application under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16-1.17, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 19-0741. Should no proper payment be enclosed herewith, as by the credit card payment form being unsigned, providing incorrect information resulting in a rejected credit card transaction, or even entirely missing, the Commissioner is authorized to charge the unpaid amount to Deposit Account No. 19-0741.

Respectfully submitted,

Date 26 September 2006

By George E. Quillin

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP
Customer Number: 22428
Telephone: (202) 672-5413
Facsimile: (202) 672-5399

George E. Quillin
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 32,792

PEM/CC
WAK.071

Attorney's Post Card Filing Receipt

Serial Number: 91756, 116

Papers Filed On: 2/27/02

Attorney's Docket Number: NEC 99P156-MS ☒ Patent ☐ Trademark

Applicant's Name: Katsuni MAEDA et al. Application Filing Date: 12/29/00
Papers Filed Herewith:

- ☒ Amendment ☐ Request for Extension of Time ☐ CPA Request
☐ Notice of Appeal ☐ Appeal Brief (in triplicate) ☐ Reply Brief
☐ IDS ☐ 1449 Form w/ ___ Documents ☒ Priority Document(s) (1)
☐ Assignment ☐ Recordation Cover Sheet ☐ Formal Drawings
☐ Drawing Corrections ☐ Issue Fee Transmittal ☐ Missing Parts
☒ Other Verified Eng Trans

Fees Filed Herewith: \$ _____ ☐ Check ☐ Charge Deposit Account: _____

☒ Hand Delivered